







De Minimis Fishery DRAFT Proposal

Red Abalone FMP Project Team Webinar November 21, 2019

Streamlined De Minimis Proposal

Scope: Northern California Recreational Red Abalone Fishery

Objectives:

- Maximize fishing opportunities while ensure recovery and long-term productivity of the stock
- Engage stakeholders in cost effective and reliable data collection
- Optimize economic support to local communities

Streamlined De Minimis Proposal

- This proposal has been developed based on ongoing discussions at previous Project Team meetings, as well as email submissions from Project Team members
- Proposal provides a framework for a de minimis fishery and outlines management tools available to inform FMP and implementing regulation development
- An attempt to narrow the scope of ideas based on areas of group consensus, where possible (shown in red)
- It is still in draft form and should not be considered final

De Minimis Proposal Structure

- Season Length
- Daily Bag Limit
- Number of Permits
- Size Limit
- Management Area
- Data Collection Scheme
- Permit Allocation Scheme
- Special Conditions

Season Length

- Year Round
- Condensed Season (July October)

Bag Limits/Individual Take Limits

- 1 to 2 abalone per person per season (minimum)
- 3 abalone per person per season
- 5 abalone per person per season
- (To be determined based on final de minimis TAC)

Size Limits

- Maintain at 7"
- Increase to 8"
- Increase to 9"
- Increase to 10"

Management Zones

Two Zones

- 1) Marin, Sonoma Counties
- 2) Mendocino, Humboldt, Del Norte Counties

Three Zones

- 1) Marin, Sonoma Counties
- 2) Mendocino County
- 3) Humbolt, Del Norte Counties

Four Zones

- 1) Marin and Southern Sonoma County
- 2) Northern Sonoma County
- 3) Southern Mendocino County
- 4) Northern Mendocino, Del Norte, Humboldt County

Oregon Border

Tulelake CALIFOR Point St. George Crescent Happy Camp National Redwood National Shasta-Trinity National Forest Etna National Canby Park Mt. Shasta Orleans 96 Zone #1 (299) Arcata Fall River Mills Eureka Lassen National Old Station 299 Forest Fortuna Hayfork 3 Rio Dell Redding Lassen National Park 36 (44) Rivers (36) Chester 36 Mineral Forest Punta Gorda Red Bluff Garberville Quincy Leggett Corning Mendocino Chico (32) Orland Graeag Lake National 49 Willows Oroville 162 6 Willits Fort Bragg Nevada City Forest Mendocinc 20 Williams Ukiah Marysville Grass Yuba City Valley Lakeport Point Arena Point Arena 128 Clearlake Lake Berryessa Woodland Cloverdale AMENTO 49 Zone #2 Bodega Bay Jackson Point Reyes National Seashore Rio Vista Lodi

Mendocino/Sonoma County Line

Oregon Border

Humboldt/Mendocino County Line

Mendocino/Sonoma County Line



Oregon Border

Tulelake CALIFOR 199 Point St. George Gasquet Crescent City Happy Camp Yreka, Modoc Nationa Redwood Forest Rivers National Shasta-Trinity National Forest Etna National Park Forest Canby Mt. Shasta Orleans Zone #1 Arcata Weaverville Eureka Lassen National Old Station Fortuna Hayfork 3 Rio Dell Redding Lassen National Park (44) 36 Forest Punta Gorda Red Bluff Garberville Quincy Leggett Corning Mendocino Orland Chico (32) National Orovill 49 Willows Oroville 162 Willits Fort Bragg Mendocino City Zone #2 Ukiah Marysville Grass Yuba Valley Point Arena Point Arena 128 Clearlake Auburn Gualala Folsom Lake Lake Berryessa Woodland Cloverdale Calistoga 128 Davis Davis SACRAMENTO 49 Bodega Bay Jackson Zone #4 irfield Point Reyes National Seashore (26) Concord

Cabrillo Lighthouse

Mendocino/Sonoma County Line
Southern Salt Point

Marin County Line

Zone #3

Management Zones

Two Zones

- 1) Mendocino, Humboldt, Del Norte Counties
- 2) Marin, Sonoma Counties

Three Zones

- 1) Humboldt, Del Norte Counties
- 2) Mendocino County
- 3) Marin, Sonoma Counties

Four Zones

- 1) Northern Mendocino, Del Norte, Humboldt County
- 2) Southern Mendocino County
- 3) Northern Sonoma County
- 4) Marin and Southern Sonoma County

Allocation: Options To Distribute Opportunities

 Allocation scheme needs to provide opportunities for all user groups – recreational fishermen, Tribes and Tribal Communities

• If allocations are made for specific user groups, should be based on an objective and fair basis (demand)

Allocation: Options To Distribute Opportunities

- Random Draw
 - Everyone has equal chance every year (Wildlife Area Hunts)
- Preference Points
 - Those who have waited the longest get preference (Big Game Draw)
- Pay to Play
 - Those who are willing to pay more get preference (You name it)

Allocation: Streamlined Options

- Random Draw
 - Everyone has equal chance every year (Wildlife Area Hunts)
- Preference Points
 - Those who have waited the longest get preference (Big Game Draw)
- Pay to Play
 - Those who are willing to pay more get preference (You name it)

Allocation: Streamlined Options

- Preference Points
 - Those who have waited the longest get preference (Big Game Draw)
 - Consideration of party tags (e.g., up to 6 individuals). If group gets drawn all members get a tag.

 Consideration of subsistence fishing allocation by Commission