Updating California's Sea-level Rise Guidance Document

Ocean Protection Council Meeting April 26, 2017

State Sea-level Rise Guidance Document

- Incorporating sea-level rise projections into state and local decision-making.
- Updating guidance to reflect recent advances in ice loss science and projections of sealevel rise.
- Opportunities for engagement with state agencies, local governments, consultants, nongovernmental organizations, tribes, vulnerable communities, and other constituents.



Process & Opportunities for Engagement

December 2016 - April 2017: State agency, local government and constituent engagement: interviews and five listening sessions; CO-CAT meetings

April 26th 2017: OPC Meeting: Science summary presented to the OPC

May - June 2017: Series of public workshops to solicit feedback on a draft framework for the *State Sea-level Rise Guidance Document*

October - November 2017: 30-day public comment period on a draft update to the *State Sea-level Rise Guidance Document*

January 2018: OPC Meeting: Potential approval by the OPC of the updated State Sea-level Rise Guidance Document

Public Workshops

South Coast · Tuesday, May 16 · 1 pm - 4 pm Environmental Learning Center at Hyperion 12000 Vista Del Mar, Playa Del Rey, CA 90293

Bay Area · **Monday, May 22** · **1:30 pm – 5:00 pm*** 455 Golden Gate Ave, San Francisco, CA 94102 *a Safeguarding California Workshop will take place here earlier in the day at 9:30am - 12:30 pm

North Coast · Thursday, June 8 · 9 am - 12 pm Sequoia Conference Center 901 Myrtle Avenue, Eureka, CA 95501

San Diego · TBD


For more information, visit:

• <u>http://www.opc.ca.gov/climate-change/updating-californias-sea-level-rise-guidance/</u>

• <u>http://www.oceansciencetrust.org/projects/updating-californias-</u> <u>sea-level-rise-guidance</u>



Dr. Cayan



Rising Seas in California: An Update on Sea-level Rise Science

Rising Seas in California

AN UPDATE ON SEA-LEVEL RISE SCIENC




Ocean Protection Council Science Advisory Team (OPC-SAT) Working Group Members:

- Gary Griggs, University of California Santa Cruz, OPC-SAT Working Group Chair)
- Dan Cayan, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, OPC-SAT
- Claudia Tebaldi, National Center for Atmospheric Research & Climate Central
- Helen Amanda Fricker, Scripps Institution of Oceanography
- Joseph Arvai, University of Michigan
- Robert DeConto, University of Massachusetts
- Robert E. Kopp, Rutgers University

Recent scientific advances in our understanding of sea-level rise?




Changes in Primary Contributors to Sea-level Rise



Recently, the loss of land ice has surpassed ocean thermal expansion as the largest contributor to sea-level rise.


Land ice includes glaciers, ice caps, and ice sheets (Greenland + Antarctica)

Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets



- Loss of ice from the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets will become the dominant source of sea-level rise, surpassing contributions from mountain glaciers and ocean thermal expansion
- Greenland Ice Sheet (GIS) is currently losing mass at a faster rate than the Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS), but, emerging science suggests that ice loss from the Antarctic Ice Sheet poses the greatest potential risk to California coastlines over the next 100 years.


Ice Sheet Mass Loss at Marine Interface



- Ice shelf seaward flow is inhibited by "buttressing." Buttressing ice shelves are vulnerable to climate change.
- Many marine-based Antarctic outlet glaciers rest on bedrock that have reverse-sloped beds. In places with this reverse-sloped geometry, the ice sheet is susceptible to a Marine Ice Sheet Instability.
- Another glaciological process: Marine Ice Cliff Instability, not previously considered at the continental icesheet scale, was shown to have a profound effect on ice sheet simulations in climates warmer than today.

Sea-level Fingerprint of West Antarctic Ice Sheet Mass Loss


West Antarctic Ice Sheet




- There is no worse place for California for land ice to be lost than from the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, because of globallyuneven gravitational and rotational effects.
- For every foot of global sea-level rise caused by the loss of ice on West Antarctica, sea-level will rise about 1.25 feet along the California coast.

Figure 1. Sea-level 'fingerprints' resulting from the distribution of ice and water around the Earth and ensuing gravitational and rotational effects.

Working Group Sea-level Rise Projections



Projections from Existing Guidance Document & Projections from this Rising Seas Report




Sea-level rise projections for 2100

Orange: the National Research Council (NRC) 2012 projections (in the existing guidance document), which do not assign probabilities

Pink: the California Science Update (this science report) – 5th - 95th percentile for San Francisco using the Kopp et al., 2014 probabilistic framework and the H++ scenario (•) from NOAA 2017.

Working Group Sea-level Rise Projections

Glohal Mean Sea Level



Probabilistic projections are specific to emissions scenario.
Projections for RCP 8.5 and RCP 2.6 are calculated using the methodology of Kopp et al. (2014). Shaded areas bounded by the dashed lines denote the 5th and 95th percentiles.

(RCP 4.5 projections not shown)

H++ scenario corresponds to
the extreme scenario of Sweet
et al. (2017) and represents a
world consistent with rapid
Antarctic ice sheet mass loss;
there is not a probability
associated with the H++
scenario.

Projected Sea-level Rise (measured in feet)

(Table 1b from the report)

San Francisco, Golden Gate

	Feet above 1991-2009 mean	MEDIAN	LIKELY RANGE	1-IN-20 Chance	1-IN-200 Chance
	Year / Percentile	50% probability SLR meets or exceeds	67% proba- bility SLR is between	5% probability SLR meets or exceeds	0.5% probability SLR meets or exceeds
San Francisco,	2030	0.4	0.3 — 0.5	0.6	0.8
RCP 8.5 in	2050	0.9	0.6 — 1.1	1.4	1.9
2100	2100 (RCP 2.6)	1.6	1.0 — 2.4	3.2	5.7
	2100 (RCP 4.5)	1.9	1.2 — 2.7	3.5	5.9
¥	2100 (RCP 8.5)	2.5	1.6 — 3.4	4.4	6.9
	2100 (H++)	10			
	2150 (RCP 2.6)	2.4	1.3 — 3.8	5.5	11.0
	2150 (RCP 4.5)	3.0	1.7 — 4.6	6.4	11.7
	2150 (RCP 8.5)	4.1	2.8 — 5.8	7.7	13.0
	2150 (H++)	22			

Please note that projections are also provided for Crescent City and La Jolla

Probability that sea-level rise at San Francisco will meet or exceed a particular height (feet) in a given year (Table 4a from the report)

RCP 8 ^{(a) RCP 8.5}

		1 FT.	2 FT.	3 FT.	4 FT.	5 FT.	6 FT.	7 FT.	8 FT.	9 FT.	10 FT.
	2020										
San Francisco,	2030	0.1%									
	2040	3.3%									
	2050	31%	0.4%								
	2060	65%	3%	0.2%	0.1%						
RCP 8.5 in	2070	84%	13%	1.2%	0.2%	0.1%					
2100	2080	93%	34%	5%	0.9%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%			
	2090	96%	55%	14%	3%	0.9%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	
	2100	96%	70%	28%	8%	3%	1%	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%
	2150	100%	96%	79%	52%	28%	15%	8%	4%	3%	2%
	2200	100%	97%	91%	80%	65%	50%	36%	25%	18%	13%

Extreme Sea-level Rise Scenario (H++)

- The Kopp et al. (2014) projections may underestimate the likelihood of extreme sea-level rise, particularly under high-emissions scenarios, so the Working Group included the H++ extreme sea-level rise scenario alongside the Kopp et al. (2014) probability distributions for RCPs 2.6, 4.5 and 8.5.
- Quantifying West Antarctic mass loss from ice cliff and instabilities depends heavily on a single recent modeling study and does not provide truly probabilistic information.
- At this point, it is scientifically premature to estimate the probability that the H++ scenario will come to pass, and, if so, when the world will move onto the H++ trajectory.

Key Findings

- 1. Scientific understanding of sea-level rise is advancing at a rapid pace. *Periodic updates of SLR Guidance will be necessary.*
- 2. The direction of sea level change is clear.
- 3. The rate of ice loss from the Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets is increasing.
- 4. New scientific evidence has highlighted the potential for extreme sealevel rise.
- 5. Probabilities of specific sea-level increases can inform decisions.
- 6. Current policy decisions are shaping our coastal future.
- 7. Waiting for scientific certainty is neither a safe nor prudent option.



Reserve Slides






Table 2b, San Francisco, Golden Gate


mm / yr	MEDIAN	LIKELY Range	1-IN-20 CHANCE	1-IN-200 CHANCE	
Year / Percentile	50% probability SLR meets or exceeds	67% proba- bility SLR is between	5% probability SLR meets or exceeds	0.5% probability SLR meets or exceeds	
2010-2030	4.7	3.8 — 5.7	6.5	8.4	
2030-2050 (RCP 2.6)	5.1	3.1 — 7.4	9.6	15	
2030-2050 (RCP 4.5)	5.8	4.2 — 7.7	9.5	14	
2030-2050 (RCP 8.5)	6.7	4.5 — 9.3	12	17	
2030-2050 (H++)	26				
2080-2100 (RCP 2.6)	5.2	2.3 — 9.1	14	28	
2080-2100 (RCP 4.5)	6.5	3.1 — 11	15	29	
2080-2100 (RCP 8.5)	11	6.0 — 16	22	37	
2080-2100 (H++)	55				


(b) RCP 2.6


	1 FT.	2 FT.	3 FT.	4 FT.	5 FT.	6 FT.	7 FT.	8 FT.	9 FT.	10 FT.
2020										
2030										
2040	3.1%									
2050	19%	0.3%								
2060	43%	1.4%	0.2%							
2070	62%	4%	0.6%	0.2%						
2080	74%	11%	2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%				
2090	80%	20%	3%	1.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%		
2100	84%	31%	7%	2%	0.8%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	
2150	93%	62%	31%	14%	7%	4%	2%	2%	1%	1%
2200	93%	68%	42%	22%	12%	7%	5%	3%	2%	1%













Contribution from Greenland Ice Sheet

Contribution from West Antarctic Ice Sheet





2.2. What are recent scientific advances in understanding sea-level rise?

2.2.1. New observations and understanding of climate changes

2.2.2. Advances in observing and modeling sealevel rise